UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,797	02/25/2002	Masahiro Sawada	9683/106	2048
	7590 11/23/2007 ER GILSON & LIONE		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 10395		. DESIR, PIERRE LOUIS		
CHICAGO, IL	00010		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/23/2007	DADED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Applicati	on Number

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/082,797	SAWADA ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
Joseph H. Feild	2617	

Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Re-	view filed <u>10/01/07</u> .		
 Improper Request – The Request is improper a reason(s): 	nd a conference will not be held for the following		
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concu☐ The request does not include reasons why a re☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pi☐ Other: .	eview is appropriate.		
The time period for filing a response continues to run for the mail date of the last Office communication, if no No.			
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences — A Pre-Appeal Brief conference held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the of the notice of appeal, as applicable.			
The panel has determined the status of the cl Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	aim(s) is as follows:		
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.			
4. ■ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been I action will be mailed. No further action is required by a			
All participants:			
(1) Joseph H. Feild SUPERUSORY PATENT EXAMINER	(3)		
(2) <u>Pierre Desir</u> .	(4)		